

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,044	12/30/2003	Mario Kabadiyski	6570P030	9158
45062 SAP/BLAKEL	7590 02/06/2008 Y		EXAMINER	
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			KENDALL, CHUCK O	
SUNNYVALE	E, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2192	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	10/750,044	KABADIYSKI ET	ΓAL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chuck O. Kendall	2192	•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COM .136(a). In no event, howeve d will apply and will expire SIX ite, cause the application to b	IMUNICATION. r, may a reply be timely filed ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	<u>December 2007</u> .		ſ			
,	, 					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	' Εχ paπe Quayle, 19	35 G.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims			•			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from considerati					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the left in the specific and sheet are specifically as a specific at a s	ccepted or b) object the drawing(s) be held in the ection is required if the	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). drawing(s) is objected to. See 37				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been receiv nts have been receiv iority documents hav au (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this Nation ()).	al Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) <u> </u>	terview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Application ther:				

Application/Control Number:

10/750,044 Art Unit: 2192

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is in response to Application filed 12/17/07.
- 2. Claims 1 33 are still pending.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

Application/Control Number:

10/750,044 Art Unit: 2192

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 – 33 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 – 46 of copending Application No. 10/749686. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims recited in the Application '750044 particularly independent claims 1, 12 and 23 an obvious modification of independent claims 37 recited in Application '749686.

Independent claims in both Applications recite identifying, modifying, byte codes during execution using method invocations to plug-ins employed within a distributed statistical records ("DSR").

Claims 1 – 33 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 – 34 of copending Application No. 10/749617. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims recited in the Application '750044 particularly independent claims 1, 12 and 23 an obvious modification of independent claims recited in Application '749617.

Application/Control Number:

10/750,044 Art Unit: 2192

Claims 1 – 33 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims in copending Application No. 10/750067. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims recited in the Application '750044 particularly independent claims 1, 12 and 23 an obvious modification of independent claims recited in Application '750067.

Claims 1 – 33 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims in copending Application No. 10/750050. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims recited in the Application '750044 particularly independent claims 1, 12 and 23 an obvious modification of independent claims recited in Application '750050.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Correspondence information

10/750,044 Art Unit: 2192

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Kendall whose telephone number is 571-272-3698. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 am - 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on 571-272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ck.

Clude Kordell 2/4/08